

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION ED

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL CHAIRMAN JIM IRVIN COMMISSIONER MARC SPITZER COMMISSIONER

AUG 0 6 2001

DOCKETED BY

5

6

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF EL PASO GLOBAL NETWORKS COMPANY FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE COMPETITIVE FACILITIES-BASED AND RESOLD LOCAL EXCHANGE, INTEREXCHANGE, AND ACCESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES.

DOCKET NO. T-03999A-01-0207

DECISION NO. 63931

OPINION AND ORDER

DATE OF HEARING:

June 20, 2001

11 PLACE OF HEARING:

Phoenix, Arizona

12 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:

Dwight D. Nodes

APPEARANCES:

Tony S. Lee, SWIDLER BERLIN SHEREFF FRIEDMAN, LLP, on behalf of El Paso Global Networks Company;

Teena Wolfe, Staff Attorney, Legal Division, on behalf of the Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission.

BY THE COMMISSION:

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On March 7, 2001, El Paso Global Networks Company ("EPGN" or "Applicant") filed with the Commission an application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") to provide competitive facilities-based and resold local exchange, interexchange, and access telecommunications services in Arizona.
- 2. EPGN is a Delaware corporation, authorized to do business in Arizona. EPGN's parent company is El Paso Energy Corporation.
- 3. On April 26, 2001, EPGN filed Affidavits of Publication indicating compliance with the Commission's notice requirements.

- 4. On June 5, 2001, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed its Staff Report, which recommended approval of the application and included a number of additional recommendations.
- 5. On June 14, 2001, a Procedural Order was issued setting the matter for hearing on June 20, 2001.
- 6. A hearing was held on June 20, 2001, at which Applicant and Staff presented evidence. At the hearing, Staff made several minor changes to the Staff Report recommendations.
 - 7. Qwest and EPGN are in the process of negotiating an interconnection agreement.
- 8. The management of EPGN has many years of experience in the telecommunications industry.
- 9. Applicant has the technical capability to provide the services that are proposed in its application.
- 10. Currently there are several incumbent providers of local exchange, toll, and exchange access services in the service territory requested by Applicant, and numerous other entities have been authorized to provide competitive local exchange services in all or portions of that territory.
 - 11. It is appropriate to classify all of Applicant's authorized services as competitive.
- 12. The Staff Report stated that Applicant has no market power and the reasonableness of its rates would be evaluated in a market with numerous competitors.
- 13. According to Staff, EPGN submitted its unaudited financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2000. These financial data list assets of \$162.17 million, no long-term debt, total stockholders' equity of \$162.17 million, and a net loss of \$2.03 million with zero operating revenues. Based on this information, Staff believes that EPGN lacks sufficient financial strength to offer the requested telecommunications services in Arizona absent the procurement of a performance bond.
- 14. Staff recommends, as amended, that EPGN's application for a Certificate to provide competitive facilities-based and resold telecommunications services be granted subject to the following conditions:
 - (a) unless its provides services solely through the use of its own facilities, EPGN

- 1	
1	
2	(1
2 3 4 5 6 7	
4	((
5	
6	(
7	
8	(1
9	(
10	,
11	
12	(,
13	
14	(
15	
16	(
17	(
18	(
19	
20	(
21	
22	(
23	(
24	
25	(
26	
27	(
28	(

should be ordered to procure an Interconnection Agreement before being allowed to offer local exchange service;

- (b) EPGN should be ordered to file with the Commission, within 30 days of an Order in this matter, its plan to have its customers' telephone numbers included in the incumbent's Directories and Directory Assistance databases;
- (c) EPGN be ordered to pursue permanent number portability arrangements with other LECs pursuant to Commission rules, federal laws and federal rules;
- (d) EPGN be ordered to abide by and participate in the AUSF mechanism instituted in Decision No. 59623, dated April 24, 1996 (Docket No. RT-T-03905A-00-0513E-95-0498);
- (e) Applicant be ordered to abide by the quality of service standards that were approved by the Commission for USWC in Docket No. T-0151B-93-0183;
- (f) in areas where Applicant is the sole provider of local exchange service facilities, EPGN be ordered to provide customers with access to alternative providers of service pursuant to the provisions of Commission rules, federal laws and federal rules;
- (g) EPGN be ordered to certify, through the 911 service provider in the area in which it intends to provide service, that all issues associated with the provision of 911 service have been resolved with the emergency service providers within 30 days of an Order in this matter;
- (h) EPGN be ordered to abide by all the Commission decisions and policies regarding CLASS services;
- (i) EPGN be ordered to provide 2-PIC equal access;
- (j) EPGN be required to certify that all notification requirements have been completed prior to a final determination in this proceeding;
- (k) EPGN be required to notify the Commission immediately upon changes to its address or telephone number;
- (l) EPGN be ordered to comply with all Commission rules, orders, and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications service:
- (m) EPGN be ordered to maintain its accounts and records as required by the Commission;
- (n) EPGN should be ordered file with the Commission all financial and other reports that the Commission may require, and in a form and at such times as the Commission may designate;
- (o) EPGN maintain on file with the Commission all current tariffs and rates, and any service standards that the Commission may require;
- (p) EPGN should be ordered to cooperate with Commission investigations of customer complaints;
- (q) EPGN be ordered to participate in and contribute to a universal service fund, as

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

28

required by the Commission; and

- (r) In order to protect EPGN's customers:
 - (1) EPGN should be ordered to procure a performance bond equal to \$100,000. The minimum bond amount of \$100,000 should be increased if at any time it would be insufficient to cover prepayments or deposits collected from EPGN's customers;
 - (2) that if the Applicant desires to discontinue service, it should file an application with the Commission pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1107;
 - (3) that the Applicant should be required to notify each of its customers and the Commission 60 days prior to filing an application to discontinue service pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1107; and any failure to do so should result in forfeiture of the Applicant's performance bond;
 - (4) that proof of the performance bond should be docketed within 90 days of an Order in this matter or 30 days prior to the provision of service, whichever comes first, and must remain in effect; however,
 - (5) if, at some time in the future, the Applicant's financial outlook improves, Staff recommends that the Applicant be allowed to file a request for cancellation of its established performance bond. Such request should be accompanied by information demonstrating the Applicant's financial viability. Upon receipt of such filing and after Staff review, Staff will forward its recommendation to the Commission.
- 15. Staff further recommended that EPGN's tariffs be approved on an interim basis subject to the following:
 - (a) That EPGN file tariffs in accordance with this Decision within 30 days of an Order in this matter or within 30 days of an Order approving its interconnection agreement, whichever is later;
 - (b) That EPGN should be required to file in this Docket, within 18 months of the date it first provides service following certification, sufficient information for Staff analysis and recommendation for a fair value finding, as well as for an analysis and recommendation for permanent tariff approval. This information must include, at a minimum, the following:
 - 1. A dollar amount representing the total revenue for the first twelve months of telecommunications service provided to Arizona customers by EPGN following certification, adjusted to reflect the maximum rates that EPGN has requested in its tariff. This adjusted total revenue figure could be calculated as the number of units sold for all services offered times the maximum charge per unit.

- 2. The total actual operating expenses for the first twelve months of telecommunications service provided to Arizona customers by EPGN following certification.
- 3. The value of all assets, listed by major category, used for the first twelve months of telecommunications services provided to Arizona customers by EPGN following certification. Assets are not limited to plant and equipment. Items such as office equipment and office supplies should be included in this list.
- (c) EPGN's failure to meet the condition to timely file sufficient information for a fair value finding and analysis and recommendation of permanent tariffs should result in the expiration of the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity and of the tariffs.
- At the hearing, EPGN agreed to comply with all of Staff's recommendations. The Applicant indicated that it intends to begin operations in Arizona by the second quarter of 2002. At the hearing, the Applicant's witness explained that EPGN intends to provide "long-haul" type services in Arizona, while its affiliate company, El Paso Networks, L.L.C. will serve metropolitan areas in the state. The Applicant has agreed that if, at some time in the future these affiliate companies experience increased market share, it may be reasonable for the Commission to place restrictions on the ability of the companies to compete with each other in the same geographic area. With this explanation, Staff supports approval of the application.
- 17. On August 29, 2000, the Court of Appeals, Division One, ("Court") issued its Opinion in <u>U S West Communications, Inc. vs. Arizona Corporation Commission</u>, 1 CA-CV 98-0672, holding that "the Arizona Constitution requires the Commission to determine fair value rate bases for all public service corporations in Arizona prior to setting their rates and charges."
- 18. On October 26, 2000, the Commission filed a Petition for Review to the Arizona Supreme Court. On February 13, 2001, the Commission's Petition was granted. However, at this time we are going to request FVRB information to insure compliance with the Constitution should the ultimate decision of the Supreme Court affirm the Court's interpretation of Section 14. We also are concerned that the cost and complexity of FVRB determinations must not offend the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Applicant is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Applicant and the subject matter of the application.
 - 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.
- 4. A.R.S. § 40-282 allows a telecommunications company to file an application for a Certificate to provide competitive telecommunications services.
- 5. Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution as well as the Arizona Revised Statutes, it is in the public interest for Applicant to provide the telecommunications services set forth in its application.
- 6. Applicant is a fit and proper entity to receive a Certificate authorizing it to provide competitive facilities-based and resold local exchange, interexchange, and exchange access telecommunications services in Arizona as conditioned by Staff's recommendations as modified below.
- 7. The telecommunications services that the Applicant intends to provide are competitive within Arizona.
- 8. Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution as well as the Competitive Rules, it is just and reasonable and in the public interest for Applicant to establish rates and charges which are not less than the Applicant's total service long-run incremental costs of providing the competitive services approved herein.
- 9. Staff's recommendations in Findings of Fact Nos. 14 and 15 are reasonable and should be adopted.

<u>ORDER</u>

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of El Paso Global Networks Company for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for authority to provide competitive facilities-based and resold local exchange, and exchange access telecommunications services in Arizona shall be, and is hereby, granted, as conditioned herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that El Paso Global Networks Company shall procure a performance bond equal to \$100,000 the earlier of 90 days after the effective date of this Order or 30 days prior to the commencement of service. The minimum bond amount of \$100,000 shall be increased if, at any time, it would be insufficient to cover prepayments or deposits collected from the Applicant's customers.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if, at some time in the future El Paso Networks, L.L.C. and El Paso Global Networks Company experience increased market share, the Commission may place restrictions on the ability of the affiliated companies to compete with each other in the same geographic area.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that El Paso Global Networks Company shall comply with all of the Staff recommendations set forth in Findings of Fact Nos. 14 and 15.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that El Paso Global Networks shall file with the Compliance Section of the Utilities Division a letter indicating the date on which it will begin providing service at least 60 days prior to providing service.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

. ВУ С	ORDER OF THE A	RIZONA CORPORATION CO	MMISSION.
MAM	ible).	smety In in	My
CHAIRMAN		COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER
	(/		
		WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BR	
		cretary of the Arizona Corp	
		reunto set my hand and caus	
	Co	mmission to be affixed at the Ca	apitol, in the City of Phoenix.
	thi	s PAR day of May 1 200	1

BRIAN C. MENEIL EXECUTIVE SECRET.

DISSENT ______ DDN:mlj

1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	EL PASO GLOBAL NETWORKS COMPANY					
2	DOCKET NO.:	T-03999A-01-0207					
3							
4	Tony S. Lee						
5	SWIDLER BERLIN SHEREFF FRIEDMAN, LLP 3000 K Street, NW, Suite 300						
6	Washington, DC 20007-5116 Attorneys for El Paso Global Networks Company Timothy Berg FENNEMORE CRAIG 3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600						
7							
8							
9							
10	Attorneys for Qwest Corporation						
11	Devinti Williams Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION						
12							
13							
14	Deborah Scott, Director						
15	Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007						
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							